

## PRESIDENT WOODROW WILSON

WILSON AND MARSHALL  
RE-ELECTED BY PARTY

Spontaneous Celebrations Follow Announcement That California Is Conceded to Democratic Candidate, Thus Giving More Than Required Number of Electoral Votes.

Asbury Park, N. J., Nov. 9.—Secretary Tumulty wired formal notification to the President of his re-election. The message was wirelessly to the President aboard the Mayflower.

Secretary Tumulty waited for the flash from the Associated Press that President Wilson had carried California. When it came a shout of joy went up inside the executive offices and Secretary Tumulty, who had told the President he would not congratulate him until the definite news was known, sent a wireless message to the Mayflower giving his congratulations.

New York, Nov. 9.—The re-election of President Woodrow Wilson and Vice President Thomas R. Marshall, was made a certainty shortly after 11 o'clock tonight when Republican State Chairman Rowell of California conceded that State to Wilson on the Democratic candidate's showing of more than 2,900 plurality in that State with only 48 precincts to hear from.

The placing of California in the Wilson column gave the President a definite 269 electoral votes, three more than enough to elect, with a prospect of adding to that on the completion of the count in States still in doubt.

Upon the conceding of the California result the New York and other metropolitan papers, Democratic and Republican, were quick to declare the National fight settled in favor of the President. Torchlight and brass band processions in this city and in thousands of other places throughout the country sprung up spontaneously and the Democratic victory was Nationally celebrated with outbursts of hilarity probably never before equalled in American politics.

It was 50 hours after the polls had closed in California when Chairman Rowell conceded that State to the President and ended the suspense and anxiety of an election which has been unparalleled in American political history.

Barring some wholly unexpected turnover in the incomplete States leaning toward Wilson or a change on a recount, California's acquisition to the Democratic column gave the President 269 electoral votes without New Mexico's three. In New Mexico at midnight the President was leading by 2,634 votes with 158 districts missing and it was not believed this advantage could be overcome by Mr. Hughes.

Conceding West Virginia, New Hampshire and Minnesota to Mr. Hughes—and he was in the lead in all three—only gives him 259 votes, seven less than the required majority. With 272 votes in sight President Wilson had six to spare for a possible split of electors in California, or a sudden reversal in New Mexico.

## THE FAIR

By Douglas Malloch.

We wrest no subterranean store from out of caverns deep and dark,  
Nor with the blood-red hand of war make harvest of a nation's tears.

Ours is the wealth of God's good soil, and on his earth we leave no mark  
But furrows of the farmer's toil, the business of a thousand years.

Here we assemble what we grew, here we have gathered of the yield,  
The products of the work we do, our answer to the ones who ask.

These are the children of the seed, arisen in the fertile field,  
A world of weary ones to feed—a noble duty, honest task.

Even in joy of labor done we have our hour for deeper thought,  
To see the glory of the sun in smiling fruit and golden grain;

Even in the frolic of the fair we realize how God has wrought  
Till herds increase and blossoms bear, fed by his sunshine and his rain.

All mindful of our work again another season yet to be,  
Let us come forth rejoicing then to pass a merry week away—

Proud of the treasures that are ours, with merriment and industry  
Taking of earth both fruit and flowers, taking of life both work and play.

MR. WYLIE REPLIES  
TO MAYOR JONES

He Gives Chester's Rate Which Is 15 1-5 Cents Per One Thousand Gallons Based on One Million Consumption—Other Facts And Figures Set Forth.

Mr. Editor: In the last issue of The News, appears a lengthy communication, by Mayor C. D. Jones, in which he refers to one of mine, in reference to the contemplated contract by the City of Lancaster to furnish water to the Lancaster Cotton Mills. The mayor insinuates that my motive was not so much to serve the public interest, but was rather animated by "hostility to certain members of the city council and to Col. Leroy Springs, as president of the Lancaster Cotton Mills." In fact he says such purpose is "manifest." I disclaim any such purpose. My sole object was to suggest to the city council that they give the public through the newspapers the proposed plan, with facts, figures and estimates, and to offer the suggestion that the council should be sure of two matters, viz.:

First. Whether the city was getting a reasonable profit for its water.

Second. Whether such contemplated contract would endanger the supply of water.

To keep the record straight, I will give my former communication in its entirety, so that the public can draw its own inferences. Here it is:

"Mr. Editor: I understand that the city council is considering the matter of supplying the Lancaster Cotton Mills with water. If such be the case the council should be absolutely certain of two facts, viz.:

First. That the contract gives the city a reasonable profit.

Second. That the present source of water supply, considering the future growth of the city, is not thereby endangered.

Along with other taxpayers, I am interested in this matter and think that the council should be absolutely sure of their ground before entering into a contract which would obligate the city to furnish, outside of the city limits, many millions of gallons of water, each year, during the life of the contract.

"Of course there is no objection to such a contract provided the city gets a reasonable profit and the future water supply be not jeopardized.

"Would it not be well for the city council to give the public the benefit of such facts, figures and estimates as they have obtained on the subject?"

We challenge any one to find in this article, one word, or sentence, which is not in keeping with the proprieties and with my rights as a citizen and taxpayer. The mayor, instead of confining himself to the two issues raised in my article, indulges in gratuitous and unwarranted personalities, thereby imitating plaintiff's attorney, who realizing that his client had no case, proceeded to abuse defendant's attorney. At any rate, the public through my instrumentality has been put into possession of just the kind of information it ought to have. The data given by the mayor, whilst interesting, does not satisfy me that the city, under the proposed contract will get a reasonable profit for its water, at cents per 1,000 gallons, or that the supply will not be jeopardized at some future time.

As to the first point, I understand that our own citizens who use water have to pay 75 cents for the first 2,000 gallons, or less used, and non-residents one dollar for a like amount. Why should these be discriminated against in favor of consumers of water in the mill district? The city of Chester will furnish water to the Wylie Mills at the domestic rate, making no discrimination against its citizens, as hereinafter shown, then why should Lancaster do otherwise? If the water is to be furnished, why should it not be furnished directly, by the city, to the consumer, at something like the rate, now in force as to non-resident consumers, which is \$1.00 for every 2,000 gallons, provided of course that the supply is not endangered. The mayor says the proposed contract will be of

great benefit to the city, and that Mr. Springs is indifferent about accepting it. He says "Our fear is that the city may lose the benefit of a valuable contract because of the capricious and foolish hostility being fomented and exhibited about the matter."

Never fear, Mr. Jones; Mr. Springs, the president of the mills, is looking after his end of the line and will make no contract that is not distinctly advantageous to his interests, which of course is entirely proper. In this connection, I might say that I have for years owned stock of no inconsiderable amount, in the Lancaster Cotton Mills, and purchased quite recently a block of the common stock. But whilst I am interested in the mills, I am more interested in our city and would not like to have it make a contract which would be prejudicial to it, as I believe this one will be; and right here let me predict that Mr. Springs will take the contract at the proposed rate. Indeed, I understand that pipes have been already laid down near the city main on the extension of South Main street and extending for some distance in the direction of the Lancaster Cotton Mills, as well as at other points.

Mr. Jones says: "The editor of The News, Mr. Wylie and other critics have either through ignorance or prejudice not taken the trouble to thoroughly inform themselves as to the attitude of other cities in furnishing water to nearby manufacturing plants. For instance, I am reliably informed that the city of Chester is furnishing water to the Wylie Cotton Mills, which is two miles outside the corporate limits, at a rate of 7 1-2c a thousand gallons, and no doubt they would gladly furnish the Eureka Cotton Mills, which is outside the corporate limits of said town, on the same basis."

I do not know where Mr. Jones got his information. I have seen a letter from the city engineer of Chester, dated 8th inst., in which he says that the water to be used by the Wylie Mills will be furnished at the following rate, which is the domestic rate:

First 4,000 gallons at 40c per thousand gallons.

Next 6,000 gallons at 25c per thousand gallons.

Next 10,000 gallons at 20c per thousand gallons.

All over 10,000 gallons at 15c per thousand gallons.

This figures 1,000,000 gallons would cost \$152.10 or over fifteen cents per thousand gallons, instead of seven and one-half cents per thousand gallons as Mr. Jones says he is "reliably informed."

The letter also states that the Wylie Mills is situated about half mile beyond the city limits instead of two miles as stated by Mr. Jones.

If the city engineer of Chester knows what he is talking about it would seem that "ignorance and prejudice" is not all on our side and that some other folks "have not taken the trouble to thoroughly inform themselves."

Notwithstanding Mayor Jones' opinion to the contrary, I believe the contemplated contract will jeopardize the present water supply. One of the main arguments used by those who opposed the voting of bonds for water was that the present supply would be inadequate to meet the city's growth and development. If the argument was of any force then it should certainly be so now, when the council proposes to furnish water to a community whose population exceeds that of the city. Why act when there is grave doubt about the matter? Suppose, in the future, by reason of the contract, the city of Lancaster is forced to issue additional bonds and go to the Catawba river for water? And this very contingency may arise. Whilst I believe the present supply is adequate to the needs of the city for many years, yet it might not be, if we are too generous in furnishing it in large quantities.

(Continued on Page 8)



THOMAS R. MARSHALL

MANNING WILL NOT BE  
CANDIDATE U.S. SENATOR

Governor of South Carolina Puts at Rest All Rumors as to His Future Aspirations for Tillman's Seat.

Columbia, S. C., Nov. 9.—"No, I will not be a candidate for the United States Senate two years hence," said Governor Manning in an interview today.

It is known that a large number of the Governor's friends from various parts of the State have been urging him to become a candidate for Senator Tillman's seat in the Senate and it was understood by a great many people that the Governor would run.

When asked about the matter, Governor Manning said: "No, I will not be a candidate for the United States Senate two years hence. Among other reasons, I feel that my undivided attention to the duties of the Governor's office will be required and my purpose and desire is to continue to consecrate my best efforts to the service of the Governor's office."

## IMPORTANT TO SOW WHEAT.

Clemson College, Nov. 1.

To All Agents:  
If there should be a failure or even a shortage in the wheat crop of this country in the next twelve months, the matter of wheat bread would become a serious problem and the price would possibly be higher than any time within the recent history of our country.

I am writing to urge that you will make a special effort to have as large an acreage seeded as possible in wheat. You could not perform a greater service to your people just

at this time then to call their attention to this most important matter. Please make every effort to secure as large an acreage as possible.

Respectfully,  
W. W. LONG, Director.

Editors Note: The above communication has just been received by Mr. C. L. McManus, Farm Demonstration Agent, Lancaster county, and he has requested The News to publish same with request to all farmers to be guided accordingly. Farmers! Don't delay this important matter longer.

## BE A BOOSTER

Anyone almost can be a knocker;  
So why not try your best to be a booster?  
With pessimists the world's already filled.  
You can't expect that things will always suit you;  
In melancholy judgment then you sit?  
No. Better far to help along a little;  
Better far to boost a little bit.

It never pays to join the anvil chorus.  
Or spread unkind reports about your town;  
Many there are to hamper and retard it,  
Who do their level best to run it down.  
What if it has its little failings?  
It has its good points, also—hasn't it?  
So why not to the wheel adjust your shoulder;  
Why not get out and boost a little bit?

A churlish dog may bay the moon in anguish;  
The frog must croak, for nature willed it so,  
The mules were placed on earth to do the kicking  
(As any good zoology will show)  
The good Lord has provided for the knocking,  
So if a wider groove you long to fit,  
Adopt this as a motto: "BE A BOOSTER"  
And then get out and boost a little bit.